



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PALEXANDER, Vingnia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

			FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE		ARK-153USI	7718
10/774,021		02/06/2004	Om Adalsteinsson	ARK-133001	
31344	7590 02/07/200	02/07/2005		EXAM	INER
				CHEN, STACY BROWN	
RATNERPR		A			PAPER NUMBER
P.O. BOX 159	96	10000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WILMINGTON, DE 19899				1648	

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/774,021	ADALSTEINSSON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Stacy B Chen	1648					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply		MONTHES FROM					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIC Extensions of time may be evaluable under the provisions of 37 Cf she start (a) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communicatio If the period for reply is specified above it less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is apposited above, the maximum statutory p Failure to reply within the set or dended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned peart term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	JN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minuments.	a reply be timely filed mirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	26 September 2004.						
20) This action is FINAL 2b)	This action is FINAL 2b) This action is non-final.						
3\ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	:.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 46-59 is/are pending in the appli	cation.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	U . I . E environment						
8) Claim(s) 46-59 are subject to restriction a	ind/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
People coment drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(u).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
* See the attached detailed Office action fol	a list of the certified cobies						
Attachmant/c)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interv	iew Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	946)	No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	/SB/08) 5) 1 Notice 6) 1 Other						

Application/Control Number: 10/774,021

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

 Applicant's preliminary amendment filed September 26, 2004 is acknowledged and entered. Claims 46-59 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 46-51, drawn to a composition comprising glucosamine and an active egg fraction, classified in class 424, subclass 157.1.
 - II. Claims 52-55, drawn to a method for reducing serum fibrinogen levels, classified in class 435, subclass 4.
 - III. Claims 56-59, drawn to a method for reducing or preventing the onset of rheumatoid arthritis, classified in class 435, subclass 4.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

a) Inventions I and (II and III) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in an assay to detect and quantify antibodies present in egg yolks in response to immunization. A search for the product and methods of its use would be a serious burden. Literature that speaks to the product will not necessarily reveal the claimed methods of use.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,021

Art Unit: 1648

b) Inventions II and III are distinct methods, drawn to reducing serum fibrinogen levels, and reducing/preventing the onset of rheumatoid arthritis. Reducing serum fibrinogen levels is a step toward reducing/preventing the onset of rheumatoid arthritis, but it is not the same method. Although the recited method steps in Groups II and III are the same, the outcomes of the methods are not. Reducing serum fibrinogen levels may possibly contribute to reducing osteoarthritis, a different type of arthritis than rheumatoid arthritis. A search of the literature for reducing serum fibrinogen levels will not necessarily reveal methods of reducing rheumatoid arthritis. A search for both methods would be a serious burden.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the literature search required for one group is not required for any other group and therefore a serious burden, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

3. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/774,021

Art Unit: 1648

will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier.

Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,021 Page 5

sandra, dada Malanca, are ada cada da sandra ada da sandra a baran da sandra a da d

Art Unit: 1648

Conclusion

4. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stacy B. Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-0896. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-4:30). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James C. Housel can be reached on 571-272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Stacy B. Chen

February 4, 2005